I. Background Information 1. Program Assessed			
Program name: Nursin		ls Training	
Program code: CCNA Division: HAT	Department: N	IHSD	
Type of Award: A.A. Cert.	A.S Adv. Cert.	A.A.S. Post-Assoc. Cert.	☑ Cert. of Completion
2. Semester assessment was admir Fall 2007 Winter 2007 Spring/Summer 2007	nistered (check or	ne): quarterly/annual repo	orts
3. Assessment tool(s) used (check Portfolio Standardized test Other external certification/ Certification Graduate Survey Employer Survey Advisory Committee Survey Transfer follow-up Externally evaluated perforn Externally evaluation of job Capstone experience (please Other (please describe):	licensure exam (p		
4. Have any of these tools been us ☐ Yes (if yes, identify which to ☐ No – hadn't been available p If yes, has this tool been altered sin	ol) previously in a sur		scribe changes made.
5. Indicate the number of student From January 1 – December 3 Clinical Exam = 296	ts assessed/total	number of students enro	J
6. Describe how students were sel- a. Describe your sampli All students w	ng method.	ssment. en January 1 – Decembe	er 31, 2007
continuing students)? Students that		graduating students, alum Jursing Assistant Skills ant Skills	Ü
 II. Results 1. If applicable, briefly describe the chaprevious assessment. Ongoing course/program update Office of Curriculum & Assessment - Program 	s based on State	-required curriculum cl	
of 3	155cssmem_Rep	ուլդ,սос	1

Approved by the Assessment Committee 10/10/06

- 2. State each outcome (verbatim) from the Program Assessment Planning or Program Proposal form for the program that was assessed.
 - #1 Recognize concepts, terms and methods related to the duties of the Nurse Assistant, using guidelines from the State of Michigan Department of Public Health.
 - #2 Demonstrate skills (independently and with 100% accuracy) related to the performance of a Nurse Assistant's duties, using guidelines from the State of Michigan Department of Public Health.
- 3. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected during the program assessment, demonstrating the extent to which students are achieving each of the learning outcomes listed above. *Please attach a summary of the data collected.*

250 out of 296 or 85% passed the clinical skills exam 250 out of 252 or 99% passed the knowledge exam

4. For each outcome assessed, indicate the standard of success used, and the percentage of students who achieved that level of success. Please attach the rubric/scoring guide used for the assessment. Benchmark for success = State average passing rates: 74% for clinical skills exam and 96% for knowledge exam

Outcome achieved in both areas of CNA certification test

5. Describe the areas of strength and weakness in students' achievement of the learning outcomes shown in assessment results.

Strengths: WCC passing rates well exceed the State average

Weaknesses: Some quarterly results are lower than others --- this could possibly relate to assigned instructors, clinical sites that can sometimes differ in each HSC 100 section. Also, there may be specific student characteristics that were significant within the quarter.

III. Changes influenced by assessment results

1. If weaknesses were found (see above) or students did not meet expectations, describe the action that will be taken to address these weaknesses.

Look at those specific students (if available) who did not pass the CNA certification testing process and compare to HSC 100 grades and performance records.

2.	Identify any other intended changes that will be instituted based on results of this assessment activity
	(check all that apply). Describe changes and give rationale for change.
	a. Outcomes/assessments from Program Assessment Planning or Program Proposal form:
	b. Program Curriculum:
	course sequencing
	course deletion
	course addition
	changes to existing program courses (specify): HSC 100 to include new State-required
	curriculum
	other (specify):
	c. Other (specify):

3. What is the timeline for implementing these actions? Fall 2008

IV.	Future	plans
_ , ,	+ armrc	Dialio

1. Describe the extent to which the assessment tools used were effective in measuring student achievement of learning outcomes for this program.

Very effective in measuring program outcomes.

- 2. If the assessment tools were not effective, describe the changes that will be made for future assessments.

Submitted by:

Name: Linda Lukiewski / Smda Pakeluski	Date:	12/2/08
Department Chair: Gloria Velarde Almin a. Volande	_Date:	12/2/08
Dean: Granville Lee / W. Cur	Date:	12/3/09
Print/Signature		

Please return completed form to the Office of Curriculum & Assessment, SC 247.